

A Preliminary Report on Tolerance of Vetiver to Submergence

Hanping Xia, Xueqin Lu, Huixiu Ao, Shizhong Liu

South China Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China

Abstract: To date, there are still no ideal bio- or eco- measures protecting or stabilizing inner slopes of rivers, reservoirs, and lakes, as plants established on the inner slopes are almost all drowned by the elevated water level in rainy season. Thereby, the key is screening out strongly tolerant plant species to submergence in order to effectively stabilize and vegetate the “wet” slopes. A comparative study on tolerance of eight grasses to submergence was conducted. The selected eight grasses were vetiver grass (*Vetiveria zizanioides* Nash), bahiagrass (*Paspalum notatum* Flugge), aciculate chrysopogon (*Chrysopogon aciculatus* Trin.), bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* Pars.), common centipedegrass (*Eremochloa ophiuroides* Hack.), St. Augustine (*Stenotaphrum secundatum* Kuntze.), carpetgrass (*Axonopus compressus* Beauv.), and sour paspalum (*Paspalum conjugatum* Bergius). They are all excellent plant species for soil and water conservation in southern China. The tested plants were raised in pots first, and then put into a cement tank filled with water to investigate their tolerance to complete submergence. Through 3 years of observation, it was found that vetiver and bermudagrass could tolerate the longest time of submergence, at least up to 100 days, and were probably more than that; bahiagrass ranked second, up to 60-70 days, followed by carpetgrass, up to 32-40 days, and then aciculate chrysopogon and sour paspalum, up to 25-32 days; St. Augustine was penultimate, up to 18-32 days; the poorest species to resist submergence was centipedegrass, only 7-10 days. Moss seemed to be an environmental factor influencing the tolerance of plants to submergence, inferring that muddy or polluted water kills plants more easily than clear water. The further experiment, including the final tolerance of vetiver and bermudagrass and the tolerant mechanisms of the 8 species, is still ongoing.

Key words: herbaceous plant, submergence, tolerance of plant to submergence

Contact: Hanping Xia <xiahanp@scib.ac.cn>

It has become increasingly welcome to apply ecological measures to control soil erosion because it produces ecological benefits and costs less. When the eco-measure is adopted to stabilize slopes, the first noticeable issue is selecting suitable plant species. Generally speaking, the various kinds of common soil erosion can be controlled through “bio-dam” or “bio-carpet” as long as the plant species are correctly chosen (Xia *et al.*, 2000). So far, agricultural slopes, and side slopes of highway, railway, architecture and other infrastructures have already had pretty good ecological protection measures. As to the protection of slopes of river, reservoir, and canal, however, almost no very effective eco- or bio-protection measures have been found to date (Armstrong *et al.*, 1994; Dalton *et al.*, 1996). The main reason is that this kind of slope usually suffers seasonal inundation, while plants used to conserve soil and water are almost all xerophytes; they will be suffocated to death after a spell of complete submergence. Some hydrophytes can also be used in the aspect of soil and moisture conservation, but their effects in this aspect are quite poor in general. This is because that hydrophytes have much poorer ability to hold soil on the whole; what’s more, they are almost always killed under a long time of drought (e.g. in winter), and are also killed perhaps under a relatively long time of total submergence. As a result, these “wet or watery” slopes all assume a huge blank band with a height of meters or dozens of meters in dry seasons, and produce severe soil erosion when encountering heavy rains or waves or rapid water flow (Fig. 1). This not only greatly influences the landscape and service

life of reservoirs, rivers, and canals, but also imperils the safety of the lower reaches (Fig. 2). At present, more and more attentions have put to the issue how to solve the soil erosion problem of this kind of slopes (Gregory *et al.* 1991; Deng *et al.* 2001; Xia *et al.* 2002). Theoretically, the erosion problem of the “wet” slopes that are not soaked all long should be thoroughly solved as long as a certain plant species for water and soil conservation can be found to endure a relatively long spell of complete submergence. Due to this reason, the present study aims at investigating the endurance of several grasses for soil and water conservation to submergence. The objective is to identify one or two species of plants that can stand a long time of submergence, and then to provide scientific basis for selecting rational plant species to effectively stabilize slopes of river, lake and reservoir.

1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

1.1 Experimental Materials

Eight grasses were used to test their tolerance to complete submergence, which are vetiver grass (*Vetiveria zizanioides* Nash), bahiagrass (*Paspalum notatum* Flugge), aciculate chrysopogon (*Chrysopogon aciculatus* Trin.), bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon* Pars.), common centipedegrass (*Eremochloa ophiuroides* Hack.), St. Augustine (*Stenotaphrum secundatum* Kuntze.), carpetgrass (*Axonopus compressus* Beauv.), and sour paspalum (*Paspalum conjugatum* Bergius). The common features of the 8 species are strong function for erosion control, and strong resistance to adverse conditions. They all have been applied widely in erosion control and slope stabilization in southern regions of China. Among them, vetiver is the sole high-stalk type, and the other 7 species are procumbent type.

1.2 Research Methods

The experiment was conducted with a method of water-cultivation. A cement tank with the volume of 2.0 m length × 2.0 m width × 1.3 m height was first constructed, and then pots in which the tested plants grew were put into the tank. All plants were collected from the grass nursery of South China Institute of Botany; they were all mature and healthy. Soil was mixed thoroughly before it was put into pots, and then a little part was sampled for chemical analysis (Table 1). Thereafter grass seedlings were transferred into identical pots with the mixed soil, 3 pots for each species. All pots were carried into the tank after plants grew in pots for 30 days. In order to guarantee the 7 prostrate grasses to get enough sunshine, a frame with the height of 0.8 m was installed first in the tank, and then these seedling pots were put on the frame. But vetiver pots were put on the cement ground in the tank, and moreover vetiver seedlings were controlled to the height of 1.2 m. Then tap water was filled into the tank until all plants were submerged completely in water (Fig. 3). During the period of submergence, the height of all vetiver seedlings was also controlled lest they grew out of water.

Table 1 Soil basic characteristics used in the tested pots

pH	Organic matter (g/kg)	Total N (g/kg)	Total P (P ₂ O ₅) (g/kg)	Total K (K ₂ O) (g/kg)	Hydrolytic N (mg/kg)	Available P (mg/kg)	Available K (mg/kg)	Soil type
4.94	20.6	0.44	0.31	3.35	78.5	6.27	42.1	Red lateritic earth

When the submerged time was up, water was completely discharged to observe if there were plants

drowned. After all survived plants recovered to the normal or almost normal status (ocular estimate), the longer water filling began. It repeated in this way until all tested plants were drowned. The submerged time was gradually increased from this time to next; concretely speaking, plants began to be submerged in water since 24 July 2000; it lasted 2 days in the first time (24 – 26 July), 4 days in the second time (28 July – 1 August), 7 days in the third time (4 – 11 August), 10 days in the fourth time (15 – 25 August), 14 days in the fifth time (7 – 21 September), 18 days in the sixth time (10 – 28 October), 25 days in the seventh time (4 – 29 December), 32 days in the eighth time (5 February – 9 March 2001), 40 days in the ninth time (19 April – 29 May), 50 days the tenth time (4 July – 24 August), 60 days in the eleventh time (28 September – 28 November), 70 days in the twelfth time (15 March – 24 May 2002), 80 days in the thirteenth time (3 July – 21 September), 100 days in the fourteenth time (16 October – 25 January 2003), and 120 days in the fifteenth time (14 May – 14 September). Furthermore, water was filled non-stop into the tank during the period of submergence in order to prevent the water surface from lowering due to evaporation or leakage.

2 RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 is the reaction of all tested species to different submergence times. They all could resist 48 hours of submergence. Since none of them was influenced by a 2-day submergence, the second submergence was conducted after discharging water for 2 days. Centipedegrass first assumed a slightly damaged symptom after the 4-day submergence, but recovered in 3 days (Fig. 4). After the third submergence, the damage of centipedegrass became obviously severe, and one of the three pots was killed. Sour paspalum was also hurt slightly in this submergence. All damaged symptoms assumed that plants became shorter, the color of leaves became abnormal, stems and leaves became softer and even rotten. 4 days later, all suffered plants recuperate, thereupon the fourth submergence began. Water was discharged 10 days later, as a result almost all plants were damaged, among them all centipedegrass plants were drowned to death, while vetiver was damaged most slightly. Like the fourth time, the fifth submergence made all survivors damage but no one was killed. It was difficult to identify, however, which species was damaged severer and which one was slighter. This is because that moss grew out during the period of this submergence, which covered plants' appearance and could not be washed away. All plants survived the fourth submergence also survived this time and recovered in 19 days. The sixth submergence lasted 18 days; as a result St. Augustine was killed two pots, and moss seemed to become more and more. The seventh submergence killed two pots of aciculate chrysopogon and two pots of sour paspalum, while others, including the left one pot of St. St. Augustine, became almost normal 36 days after water was discharged. However, St. Augustine, aciculate chrysopogon, and sour paspalum (each species left one pot alive) were all killed in the eighth submergence. The other four species all recovered and then grew after discharging water for a spell of time. Thereafter, 3 pots of carpetgrass were all killed by the ninth submergence. The tenth submergence began after the ninth was over for 36 days, from which all vetiver, bahiagrass, and bermudagrass seedlings lived through in spite of the fact that all of them were severely damaged. The eleventh submergence up to 60 days killed two pots of bahiagrass, but neither of vetiver nor bermudagrass was killed although the shoots of the latter seemed to be dead. Due to the severe damage of plants and cold winter, however, the survivors recovered very slowly. They did not completely recuperate until March 15th, 2002. The twelfth submergence began on this day and ceased

Table 2 Comparison of 8 grass species with special reference to the tolerance to submergence

Species	Submerged days														
	2 d	4 d	7 d	10 d	14 d	18 d	25 d	32 d	40 d	50 d	60 d	70d	80d	100d	120d
<i>V. zizanioides</i>	Normal	Normal	Normal	Slightly damaged	Damaged	No death	No death	No death	No death	No death	No death	One pot died	No new death	No new death	On-going
<i>P. notatum</i>	Normal	Normal	Normal	Damaged	Damaged	No death	No death	No death	No death	No death	Two pots died	All died	/	/	
<i>C. aciculatus</i>	Normal	Normal	Normal	Damaged	Damaged	No death	Two pots died	All died	/	/	/	/	/	/	
<i>C. dacyton</i>	Normal	Normal	Normal	Damaged	Damaged	No death	No death	No death	No death	No death	No death	One pot died	No new death	No new death	On-going
<i>A. compressus</i>	Normal	Normal	Normal	Damaged	Damaged	No death	No death	No death	All died	/	/	/	/	/	
<i>S. secundatum</i>	Normal	Normal	Normal	Damaged	Damaged	Two pots died	No new death	All died	/						
<i>P. conjugatum</i>	Normal	Normal	Slightly damaged	Damaged	Damaged	No death	Two pots died	All died	/	/	/	/	/	/	
<i>E. ophiuroides</i>	Normal	Slightly damaged	One pot died	All died	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	

on May 24th. The results were: 1) all shoots of bermudagrass and bahiagrass became rotten or dead, and furthermore the left one pot of bahiagrass no longer became green, this means that all bahiagrass plants were killed by 70 days of submergence; and 2) there were only two pots of vetiver and two pots of bermudagrass became green and recovered growth. It was surprised, however, that the two submergences did not result in any new death; that is to say that the left 2 pots of vetiver and 2 pots of bermudagrass still kept alive. Why some of experimental plants were killed by 70 days of submergence, while the remainders survived the 80 and 100 days of complete submergence?! The experimental site and conditions were completely identical from beginning to end except for temperature, which was natural and therefore changed all year round. However, a strange phenomenon was found that moss adhered to the plants and the water tank become far less in the last two times of submergence than the previous several times, especially the 6th-11th time. The most amount of moss occurred was in the 11th and 12th times, covering almost all appearance of the submerged plants and the inner surface of the water tank. It is probably, therefore, that 1) moss, or mud (if in river or reservoir), not submergence itself is the main killer of flood-resistant plants; and 2) the tolerance of plants to submergence will become weaker when they are soaked by dirty or muddy water. It is incredible, however, why the amount of moss in the 13th and 14th submergences greatly decreased.

3 CONCLUSION AND COMING PLAN

It can be seen from the above phasic experiment that vetiver and bermuda grasses assumed the strongest tolerance to submergence, and both of them could endure at least 100 days of complete submergence when water is relatively clean; bahia grass ranked the second, which could endure submergence for 60-70 days; carpet grass was the third, enduring 32-40 days; aciculate chrysopogon and sour paspalum were the fourth, enduring 25-32 days; St. Augustine ranked the fifth, enduring 18-32 days; centipedegrass was poorest, enduring at most 10 days. Thereby, vetiver, bermuda and bahia grasses, particularly the former two, can be used to protect slopes of riverbanks and reservoirs with regard to the tolerance to submergence.

At present, the experiment is still ongoing; the fifteenth submergence began on 14 May 2003 and will finish on 14 September, lasting 120 days. The result will be able to be known at ICV-3. If vetiver or bermuda still survive the 120-day submergence, the longer submergence will continue until all tested plants are killed to thoroughly ascertain the tolerance of vetiver and bermudagrass to complete submergence. After the trial is over, two further experiments will be conducted. The coming plans are as follows.

1) Investigation of the type of tolerance to submergence. Considering what the tested plants went through was the submergence cultivation of the gradually increased time, their tolerance to submergence, therefore, was likely to increase gradually due to the domestication of the submerged environment. In order to make clear which type the tolerance of each species belongs to, adaptive or constitutional type, the submergence trial will be conducted again to all tested plants after the above trial of gradually increased submergence is over. The submergence way is similar to the gradually increased one. Concretely speaking, plants that have never been submerged are first established in the pots, and then they are put into the tank one month later. But all species are soaked only once, and the soaked time for each species is its tolerant limit got from the gradually increased trial above. Then these pots are taken out from water and an investigation to the survival of each grass is followed. If one species or parts of its seedlings survive, it indicates that its tolerant ability to submergence is constitutional; otherwise it is adaptive.

2) Exploration to the tolerant mechanisms of different species. Due to the huge difference among

different species with regard to the tolerance to submergence, the tolerant mechanisms among different species are affirmatively different. However, there have been poorly documented about the tolerant mechanism of the eight plants to submergence (Feng *et al.*, 2003). Therefore it is very necessary to conduct the research to make clear the tolerant mechanism of each species to submergence, which will provide a theoretical basis for their practical application.

In fact, the two experiments are being conducted right now; maybe some interesting results will be obtained prior to ICV-3.

4 ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank The Vetiver Network for her partial financial support to the experiment.

5 REFERENCES

- Armstrong W, Brandle R, Jackson MB. 1994. Mechanism of flood tolerance in plants. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica*, 43(4): 307-358
- Dalton PA, Smith RJ, Truong PNV. 1996. Vetiver grass hedges for erosion control on a cropped flood plain: hedge hydraulics. *Agric Water Management*, 31: 91-104
- Deng HB, Wang QC, Wang QL. 2001. On riparian forest buffers and riparian management. *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology*, 12(6): 951-954
- Feng PY. 2003. Research on Adaptability of *Vetiveria zizanioides* to Flooding. Master Degree Thesis, South China Normal University, Guangzhou, China
- Gregory SV, Swanson FJ, Mckee WA, *et al.* 1991. An ecosystem perspective of riparian zones. *Bioscience*, 41: 540-551
- Xia HP, Liu SZ, Ao HX. 2000. Plants excellence in soil and water conservation and slope agroforestry. Beijing: Meteorology Press
- Xia HP, Ao HX, Liu SZ, *et al.* 2002. Study on benefits of planting grasses along the bank of the North River. *Tropical Geography*, 22(4): 359-362

Statement of Merit:

It has been well documented that vetiver grass is extremely tolerant to drought, but there are very few documents about its tolerance to submergence. Maybe no one knows how long exactly vetiver can endure complete submergence so far. A comparative experiment on tolerance of vetiver and 7 other grasses to submergence was conducted. The tested eight grasses were all excellent in soil erosion and slope stabilization in South China. Through 3 years of observation, vetiver and bermudagrass were found to tolerate the longest time of submergence, at least up to 100 days, and probably more than that; bahiagrass ranked second, up to 60-70 days, followed by carpetgrass, up to 32-40 days, and then aciculate chrysopogon and sour paspalum, up to 25-32 days; St. Augustine was penultimate, up to 18-32 days; the poorest species to resist submergence was centipedegrass, only 7-10 days. Moss seemed to be an environmental factor influencing the tolerance of plants to submergence, inferring that muddy or polluted water kills plants more easily than clear water. The result infers that vetiver and bermudagrass are the best species for stabilization and vegetation of “wet” slopes with regard to the tolerance to submergence, and bahiagrass is the second.

Captions for Photos

- Fig. 1 This kind of completely barren “wet” slopes is quite common in China, which occurs in dry seasons (winter), and “vanishes” in rainy seasons (summer) (February 2000). This phenomenon can be seen in many places of the world.
- Fig. 2 Due to scarcity of vegetation and loose soil layers, soil erosion on this kind of slopes is extremely severe in general, resulting in lots of ecological and social problems. Therefore, it is urgently to protect this kind of slopes with ecological measures (February 2000).
- Fig. 3 All tested 8 plant species were in the situation of complete submergence (August 2000).
- Fig. 4 Almost no species were damaged by a 4-day submergence except centipedegrass, which was hurt slightly (August 2000).
- Fig. 5 One pot of centipedegrass (the middle) was killed by the third submergence (only 7 days), while vetiver did not suffer any hurt (the lower part) (August 2000).
- Fig. 6 Since the fifth submergence (14 days), moss began to distinctly cover the surface of plants, but vetiver was influenced least by it (September 2000).
- Fig. 7 This pot of St. Augustine still thrived prior to the eighth submergence (32 days), but the other two pots had been drowned by the 18-day submergence (February 2001).
- Fig. 8 This was the landscape that plants were submerged for 32 days and then water were discharged for nearly one month. Obviously, plants in some pots no longer became green, indicating that they were killed thoroughly (April 2001).
- Fig. 9 The amount of moss further increased; it completely covered the surface of plants during the period of the 11th submergence of 60 days (November 2001).
- Fig. 10 As a result, there was only one pot of bahiagrass survived after 60-day submergence, and furthermore the survived plants did not recover to the nearly normal situation until after water was discharged for approximately 110 days (March 2002). Afterwards, the 70-day submergence killed the left one pot of bahiagrass, one pot of bermudagrass, and one pot of vetiver.
- Fig. 11 The submerged plants, especially bermudagrass, seemed to be killed by the 80-day submergence. It was strange, however, that moss became much less than before (September 2002).
- Fig. 12 The left two pots of bermuda and vetiver grasses still survived the 80-day submergence; their growth was not bad after nearly one month of recovery (October 2002).
- Fig. 13 After the 14th submergence (100 days) was over, plants became moribund again, but did not die at all (January 2003). They are suffering the longer submergence up to 120 days.
- Fig. 14 These newly-planted grasses will be used to investigate their mechanisms to tolerate submergence (March 2003). In fact, the research on the tolerant mechanism to submergence is ongoing right now.